1	STEVEN P. KRAKOWSKY ATTORNEY AT LAW			
2	1875 Century Park East Suite 2000	4		
3	Los Angeles, California 90067 (310)552-7525	0Y:	CEER ER	=
4	(310)772-0989 (fax) State Bar No. 94047		18 LO	Z S
5	Company and the control of the contr	1	200 E	22
	Attorney for plaintiff	Į.	577	
6	BREAKDOWN SERVICES, LTD.	- 1	E CC	3
7			S CVII	31 OO
8		42	. T. 22	0
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BREAKDOWN SERVICES, LTD., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

VB.

AMI SHAFRIR, an individual; BEN NAVON, an individual; DAVID DAVIS, an individual; EXPLORE TALENT, a business entity; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

05218 PUG (MW) Case No. *

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND FOR INJUNCTION FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL.

20

19

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for copyright infringement arising under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq.

This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 1338(b).

2.7

2. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(a).

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Breakdown Services, Ltd. ("Breakdown Services") was and is a California corporation in good standing and doing business in Los Angeles County, California, with its principal place of business in said County and State.
- 4. Breakdown Services alleges on information and belief that defendant Ami Shafrir is an individual doing business in the Central District of California.
- 5. Breakdown Services alleges on information and belief that defendant Ben Navon is an individual doing business in the Central District of California.
- 6. Breakdown Services alleges on information and belief that defendant David Davis is an individual doing business in the Central District of California.
- 7. Breakdown Services alleges on information and belief that defendant Explore Talent is a business entity form unknown, and doing business in the Central District of California.
- 8. Breakdown Services is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Breakdown Services will amend this Complaint when such

true names and capacities have been ascertained.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 9. For more than thirty-nine years, Breakdown Services has been actively engaged in the business of creating, preparing and delivering original script analyses and summaries commonly referred to as "breakdowns" to members of the entertainment community in Los Angeles, New York and London for feature length motion pictures, television, video and other aspects of the entertainment industry. The breakdowns are published and generally delivered by Breakdown Services on a daily basis only to authorized theatrical and other talent agencies and management companies in consideration of their written agreement with Breakdown Services to maintain the strict confidentiality of all of such information with respect to all third persons and entities. Breakdown Services delivers the breakdowns to its contract customers via the Internet.
- 10. The breakdowns contain material wholly original with Breakdown Services that is copyrightable subject matter under the laws of the United States.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Breakdown Services complied in all respects with the Copyright Act of 1976 and all other laws governing copyright, and secured the exclusive rights and privileges in and to the breakdowns which are the subject of this action. Prior to the filing of the present action, Breakdown Services duly applied for registration of the works which are the subject of this action.
- 12. Breakdown Services is currently and at all relevant times has been the sole proprietor of all right, title, and

- 13. All of the breakdowns contain proprietary information of value which is Breakdown Services' sole and exclusive property. Further, each and every breakdown published and delivered by Breakdown Services prominently displays the following warning: "This confidential information is the property of Breakdown Services, Ltd. DO NOT COPY!!!"
- 14. As more particularly described herein, Breakdown
 Services alleges on information and belief that defendants
 knowingly and willfully directly copied Breakdown Services'
 breakdowns in their entirety; that defendants copied the
 breakdowns for the specific purposes of infringing Breakdown
 Services' copyright; and that defendants copied said breakdowns
 for the further purpose of unfairly competing with Breakdown
 Services by using Breakdown Services' original works to do so;
 and that within at least the past year preceding the filing of
 this action, defendants have reproduced, distributed and promoted
 illegal and unauthorized copies of the breakdowns.
- 15. Breakdown Services' breakdowns referenced in paragraph
 14 are for the project described as follows: The SAG feature film
 entitled "Bout That Bout." Breakdown Services further alleges on
 information and belief that there may be additional breakdowns
 which defendants copied in the same or similar manner as
 referenced in paragraph 14 and which Breakdown Services will seek
 to add to its Claims for Relief at such time as they have been

identified.

- 16. Breakdown Services further alleges on information and belief that defendants received actual notice of Breakdown Services' complaints regarding defendant's unauthorized copyright infringement and that defendants, nevertheless, continued to wilfully and knowingly copy Breakdown Services' breakdowns and to reproduce, distribute, promote and offer said breakdowns for sale to third parties.
- 17. The natural, probable and foreseeable result of defendants' wrongful conduct has been and will be to deprive Breakdown Services of the benefits of selling Breakdown Services' breakdowns, to dilute and damage Breakdown Services' goodwill, and to injure Breakdown Services' relations with both the studios which provide scripts to Breakdown Services and Breakdown Services' present and prospective customers.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq.)

- 18. Breakdown Services realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive.
- 19. By their actions alleged above, defendants have infringed and will continue to infringe Breakdown Services' copyright in and relating to the breakdowns by producing, distributing and placing upon the market products which are direct copies of Breakdown Services' copyrighted breakdowns.
- 20. Breakdown Services is entitled to an injunction restraining defendants, their officers, agents and employees, and all persons acting in concert with them, from engaging in any further such acts in violation of the copyright laws.

21. Breakdown Services is further entitled to recover from defendants damages, including attorneys' fees, it has sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by defendants as a result of defendants' acts of infringement alleged above. At present, the amount of such damages, gains, profits and advantages cannot be fully ascertained by Breakdown Services.

WHEREFORE, Breakdown Services prays for judgment against defendants as follows:

ON THE FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF:

- 1. That the Court find that defendants have infringed Breakdown Services' copyright in the breakdowns.
- 2. That the Court find a substantial likelihood that defendants will continue to infringe Breakdown Services' copyright in the breakdowns unless enjoined from doing so.
- 3. That defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all other persons in active concert or privity or in participation with them, be enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing Breakdown Services' copyright in the breakdowns or continuing to market, offer, sell, dispose of, license, lease, transfer, display, advertise, reproduce, develop or manufacture any works derived or copies from the breakdowns or to participate or assist in any such activity.
- 4. That defendants be required to file with the Court and to serve on Breakdown Services, within 30 days after service of the Court's order as herein prayed, a report in writing under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which defendants have complied with the Court's order.

- 6. That judgment be entered for Breakdown Services and against defendants for statutory damages based upon defendants' acts of infringement, pursuant to the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq.
- 7. That defendants be required to account for all gains, profits, and advantages derived from their acts of infringement and for their other violations of law.
- 8. That all gains, profits and advantages derived by defendants from their acts of infringement and other violations of law be deemed to be held in constructive trust for the benefit of Breakdown Services.
- 9. That Breakdown Services have judgment against defendants for Breakdown Services' costs and attorneys' fees.
- 10. That the Court grant such other, further, and different relief as the Court deems proper under the circumstances.

DATED: June 22, 2011

STEVEN P. KRAKOWSKY Attorneys for plaintiff BREAKDOWN SERVICES, LTD.

- That judgment be entered for Breakdown Services and against defendants for Breakdown Services' actual damages according to proof, and for any profits attributable to infringements of Breakdown Services' copyright, in accordance with proof.
- That judgment be entered for Breakdown Services and against defendants for statutory damages based upon defendants' infringement, pursuant to the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq.
- That defendants be required to account for all gains, profits, and advantages derived from their acts of infringement and for their other violations of law.
- That all gains, profits and advantages derived by defendants from their acts of infringement and other violations of law be deemed to be held in constructive trust for the benefit of Breakdown Services.
- That Breakdown Services have judgment against 9. defendants for Breakdown Services' costs and attorneys' fees.
- That the Court grant such other, further, and different relief as the Court deems proper under the circumstances.

DATED: June 22, 2011

Attorneys for plaintiff

BREAKDOWN SERVICES, LTD.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Breakdown Services, Ltd. hereby demands trial by jury on all issues triable to a jury.

DATED: June 22, 2011

Attorneys for plaintiff BREAKDOWN SERVICES, LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Dolly Gee and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Andrew J. Wistrich.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 5218 DMG (AJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be notice	ed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
--	--

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division							
•	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8							
	Los Angeles, CA 90012							

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rrn. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Name & Address: Steven P. Krakowsky, Esq. (SBN # 94047) 1875 Century Park East, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90067 (310)552-7525 (310)772-0989 (fax) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Breakdown Services, Ltd., a California corporation, CASE NUMBER CV11-05218 DM G(AJW) PLAINTIFF(S) Ami Shafrir, an individual; Ben Navon, an individual; David Davis, an individual; Explore Talent, a business SUMMONS entity; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, DEFENDANT(S). DEFENDANT(S): Ami Shafrir, an individual; Ben Navon, an individual; David Davis, an individual; TO: Explore Talent, a business entity; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of complaint amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Steven P. Krakowsky, Esq. , whose address is 1875 Century Park East, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, California 90067 judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. SEAL Clerk, U.S. District Court JUN 2 2 2011 JULIE PRADQ Dated: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court) [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)]. SUMMONS CV-01A (12/07)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself (1) Brenkdown Services, Ltd.					DEFENDANTS Ami Shafrir, Ben Navon, David Davis, Explore Talent, and DOES through 10							
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)				representing	Attorneys (If Known) Unknown							
Steven P. Krakowsky, Esq. 1875 Century Park East, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, California 90067 (310)552-7525				7								
							PRINCIPAL PA		For Diversity Cas lefendant.)	es Only		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				Citizen of This :						PTF □4	DEF	
-2 0	.S. Government Defendar	nt 🗅 4	Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	ænship	Citizen of Anoth	Cirizen of Another State					□ 5	□ 5
					Citizen or Subje	ct of a For	reign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
	RIGIN (Place an X in or											
	Original 2 Remov Proceeding State C		☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court		einstated or	Transfer	red from another	district (sp	Dis	trict Judg	eal to I le from istrate	
v. R	EQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: 1	Yes 🗆	No (Check 'Yes'	only if d	manded in comp	ainL)				
CLAS	S ACTION under F.R.C	C.P. 23;	☐ Yes ☑ No		EZ N	NONEY I	DEMANDED IN	COMPL	AINT: S Statutor	y damages		
VI. C	AUSE OF ACTION (Cit	ic the U.	S. Civil Statute under whi	ch you	are filing and writ	te a brief s	satement of cause	Do not o	ite jurisdictional s	ratules unless div	ersity.)	
	Copyright infringement (1											
VII.	NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	ce an X	in one box only.)									
□ 400	THER STATITUES STATE STATE Reapportionment	☐ 110	Insurance	PER	TORTS LSONAL INJURY		PERSONAL.	BERT	FEMORES SE	□ 710 Fair Lai		
	Antitrust Banks and Banking		Marine Miller Act		Airplane Produc		PROPERTY Other Fraud	IJ \$10	Motions to Vacate Sentence	☐ 720 Labor/A	1cmt	
	Commerce/ICC	□ 140	Negotiable Instrument		Liability	□ 371	Trush in Lendin		Habeas Corpus	Relation	15	
_	Rates/etc.	☐ 150	Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel & Slander	□ 380	Other Personal		General	1730 Labor/A		
	Deportation		Overpayment &	□ 330	fed. Employers'	□ 386	Property Dama; Property Dama;		Mandamus/	Reporti		
□4/0	Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt		Enforcement of Judgment		Liability	Ju 202	Product Liabilit		Other	Disclos		
	Organizations	□ 151	Medicare Act		Marine	SHEET IN	ANKRUBICH	節 口 550	Civil Rights	790 Other L		nu,
□ 480	Consumer Credit		Recovery of Defaulted	□ 345	Marine Product Liability		Appeal 28 USC	□ 555	Prison Condition	Litigation	on	
	Cable/Set TV		Student Loan (Excl.	□ 350	Major Vehicle		158	170	(Statement State			
□ 810	Selective Service Securities/Commodities/	II 162	Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	7 STEEL ST. ST. ST.	Withdrawal 28 USC 157		Agriculture	Security PROPERTY	Act	TOPM
□ 930	Exchange	133	Overpayment of	- 240	Product Liability	William C	INTERIGHTS	620	Other Food &	M 820 Copyrig	hrs	#93/200K
O 875	Customer Challenge 12		Veteran's Benefits	- 300°	Other Personal Injury	O 441	Voting		Drug	☐ 830 Pateni.		
	USC 3410		Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Personal Injury-		Employment	□ 625	Drug Related	□ 840 Tradem		
	Other Statutory Actions		Other Contract		Med Malpractice	413	Housing/Acco- mmodations	1	Seizure of	BESOCIATEST		大大大学
	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization	195	Contract Product Liability	365	Personal Injury- Product Liability	□ 444	Welfare		Property 21 USC 881	□ 861 HIA (13 □ 862 Black L		23)
_ 5,2	Act	□ 196	Franchise	CT 368	Asbestos Personi		American with	□ 630	Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC/I		
	Environmental Matters	海湖	REALPROPERTY	and second	Injury Product		Disabilities -		R.R. & Truck	(405(₁₁))		
	Energy Allocation Act		Land Condemnation	Kalaut Ac. 2	Liability	Will a see	Employment		Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Ti		1
	Freedom of Info. Act		Foreclasure	口 462	MIGRATION Naturalization	446	American with Disabilities -	₩ 660	Occupational Safety /Health	B65 RSI (40:		rimbiante.
□ 900	Appeal of Fee Determi- nation Under Equal		Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	-402	Application	1	Other	□ 690		□ 870 Taxes (1		
	Access to Justice	The second second	Tort Product Liability	□ 463	Habeas Corpus-	C) 440	Other Civil		F-8180.	or Defer		
950	Constitutionality of State Statutes		All Other Real Property	□ 465	Alien Detainee Other Immigration Actions	эп	Rights			□ 871 IRS-Thi USC 76		y 26
					VI 4 4		r 2 1 0	<u> </u>				1045
-				1:	VII	-13	5218	3		· · · ·		
FOR (OFFICE USE ONLY:		lumber:									

Case 2:11-cv-05218-DMG-AJW Document 1 Filed 06/22/11 Page 13 of 13 Page ID #:27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? Vivo Yes If yes, list case number(s):								
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? VNo Yes If yes, list case number(s):								
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.								
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following information	on, use an additional sheet if	f necessary.)					
(a) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).								
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
Los Angeles								
(b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).								
County in this District;*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
			Nevada					
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
Los Angeles		-						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard Note: In land condemnation cases, use			San Luis Obispo Counties					
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C		17-11/1	Date June 22, 2011					
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The or other papers as required by law	CV-71 (JS-44) Civ	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)					
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	ial Security Cases:	98901963003 WAN TOROUGO 79 KA	3.200 (1990)					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action					
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))						
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)						
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.						
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))						

CIVIL COVER SHEET

CV-71 (05/08)

Page 2 of 2